

BrokerCheck Report

WADE JAMES LAWRENCE

CRD# 4512225

Report #55834-34551, data current as of Wednesday, February 17, 2016.

<u>Section Title</u>	<u>Page(s)</u>
Report Summary	1
Broker Qualifications	2 - 3
Registration and Employment History	4
Disclosure Events	5

About BrokerCheck®

BrokerCheck offers information on all current, and many former, registered securities brokers, and all current and former registered securities firms. FINRA strongly encourages investors to use BrokerCheck to check the background of securities brokers and brokerage firms before deciding to conduct, or continue to conduct, business with them.

- **What is included in a BrokerCheck report?**

BrokerCheck reports for individual brokers include information such as employment history, professional qualifications, disciplinary actions, criminal convictions, civil judgments and arbitration awards. BrokerCheck reports for brokerage firms include information on a firm's profile, history, and operations, as well as many of the same disclosure events mentioned above.

Please note that the information contained in a BrokerCheck report may include pending actions or allegations that may be contested, unresolved or unproven. In the end, these actions or allegations may be resolved in favor of the broker or brokerage firm, or concluded through a negotiated settlement with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

- **Where did this information come from?**

The information contained in BrokerCheck comes from FINRA's Central Registration Depository, or CRD® and is a combination of:

- information FINRA and/or the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) require brokers and brokerage firms to submit as part of the registration and licensing process, and
- information that regulators report regarding disciplinary actions or allegations against firms or brokers.

- **How current is this information?**

Generally, active brokerage firms and brokers are required to update their professional and disciplinary information in CRD within 30 days. Under most circumstances, information reported by brokerage firms, brokers and regulators is available in BrokerCheck the next business day.

- **What if I want to check the background of an investment adviser firm or investment adviser representative?**

To check the background of an investment adviser firm or representative, you can search for the firm or individual in BrokerCheck. If your search is successful, click on the link provided to view the available licensing and registration information in the SEC's Investment Adviser Public Disclosure (IAPD) website at <http://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov>. In the alternative, you may search the IAPD website directly or contact your state securities regulator at <http://www.finra.org/Investors/ToolsCalculators/BrokerCheck/P455414>.

- **Are there other resources I can use to check the background of investment professionals?**

FINRA recommends that you learn as much as possible about an investment professional before deciding to work with them. Your state securities regulator can help you research brokers and investment adviser representatives doing business in your state.



Using this site/information means that you accept the FINRA BrokerCheck Terms and Conditions. A complete list of Terms and Conditions can be found at

brokercheck.finra.org



For additional information about the contents of this report, please refer to the User Guidance or www.finra.org/brokercheck. It provides a glossary of terms and a list of frequently asked questions, as well as additional resources. For more information about FINRA, visit www.finra.org.

Thank you for using FINRA BrokerCheck.

WADE J. LAWRENCE

CRD# 4512225

This broker is not currently registered.

Report Summary for this Broker

This report summary provides an overview of the broker's professional background and conduct. Additional information can be found in the detailed report.

Broker Qualifications

This broker is not currently registered.

This broker has passed:

- 2 Principal/Supervisory Exams
- 3 General Industry/Product Exams
- 2 State Securities Law Exams

Registration History

This broker was previously registered with the following securities firm(s):

SOUTHWEST SECURITIES, INC.

CRD# 6220
DALLAS, TX
08/2011 - 12/2013

OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.

CRD# 249
FORTH WORTH, TX
06/2008 - 07/2011

MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED

CRD# 7691
DALLAS, TX
04/2003 - 06/2008

Disclosure Events

All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.

Are there events disclosed about this broker? **Yes**

The following types of disclosures have been reported:

Type	Count
Regulatory Event	2
Customer Dispute	10
Termination	1

Investment Adviser Representative Information

The information below represents the individual's record as a broker. For details on this individual's record as an investment adviser representative, visit the SEC's Investment Adviser Public Disclosure website at

<http://www.adviserinfo.sec.gov>

Broker Qualifications



Registrations

This section provides the self-regulatory organizations (SROs) and U.S. states/territories the broker is currently registered and licensed with, the category of each license, and the date on which it became effective. This section also provides, for every brokerage firm with which the broker is currently employed, the address of each branch where the broker works.

This broker is not currently registered.



Broker Qualifications

Industry Exams this Broker has Passed

This section includes all securities industry exams that the broker has passed. Under limited circumstances, a broker may attain a registration after receiving an exam waiver based on exams the broker has passed and/or qualifying work experience. Any exam waivers that the broker has received are not included below.

This individual has passed 2 principal/supervisory exams, 3 general industry/product exams, and 2 state securities law exams.

Principal/Supervisory Exams

Exam	Category	Date
General Securities Sales Supervisor - Options Module Examination	Series 9	01/10/2011
General Securities Sales Supervisor - General Module Examination	Series 10	01/21/2011

General Industry/Product Exams

Exam	Category	Date
Investment Company Products/Variable Contracts Representative Examination	Series 6	05/16/2002
General Securities Representative Examination	Series 7	04/10/2003
Futures Managed Funds Examination	Series 31	10/29/2003

State Securities Law Exams

Exam	Category	Date
Uniform Securities Agent State Law Examination	Series 63	05/16/2002
Uniform Investment Adviser Law Examination	Series 65	05/24/2002

Additional information about the above exams or other exams FINRA administers to brokers and other securities professionals can be found at www.finra.org/brokerqualifications/registeredrep/.



Registration and Employment History

Registration History

The broker previously was registered with the following firms:

Registration Dates	Firm Name	CRD#	Branch Location
08/2011 - 12/2013	SOUTHWEST SECURITIES, INC.	6220	DALLAS, TX
06/2008 - 07/2011	OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.	249	FORTH WORTH, TX
04/2003 - 06/2008	MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INCORPORATED	7691	DALLAS, TX
05/2002 - 02/2003	MML INVESTORS SERVICES, INC.	10409	SPRINGFIELD, MA

Employment History

This section provides up to 10 years of an individual broker's employment history as reported by the individual broker on the most recently filed Form U4.

Please note that the broker is required to provide this information only while registered with FINRA or a national securities exchange and the information is not updated via Form U4 after the broker ceases to be registered. Therefore, an employment end date of "Present" may not reflect the broker's current employment status.

Employment Dates	Employer Name	Employer Location
07/2011 - Present	SOUTHWEST SECURITIES INC	DALLAS, TX
06/2008 - 07/2011	OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.	DALLAS, TX
01/2003 - 06/2008	MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH INC.	LUBBOCK, TX

Other Business Activities

This section includes information, if any, as provided by the broker regarding other business activities the broker is currently engaged in either as a proprietor, partner, officer, director, employee, trustee, agent or otherwise. This section does not include non-investment related activity that is exclusively charitable, civic, religious or fraternal and is recognized as tax exempt.

No information reported.



Disclosure Events

What you should know about reported disclosure events:

1. All individuals registered to sell securities or provide investment advice are required to disclose customer complaints and arbitrations, regulatory actions, employment terminations, bankruptcy filings, and criminal or civil judicial proceedings.
2. **Certain thresholds must be met before an event is reported to CRD, for example:**
 - A law enforcement agency must file formal charges before a broker is required to disclose a particular criminal event.
 - A customer dispute must involve allegations that a broker engaged in activity that violates certain rules or conduct governing the industry and that the activity resulted in damages of at least \$5,000.
3. **Disclosure events in BrokerCheck reports come from different sources:**
 - As mentioned at the beginning of this report, information contained in BrokerCheck comes from brokers, brokerage firms and regulators. When more than one of these sources reports information for the same disclosure event, all versions of the event will appear in the BrokerCheck report. The different versions will be separated by a solid line with the reporting source labeled.
4. **There are different statuses and dispositions for disclosure events:**
 - A disclosure event may have a status of *pending*, *on appeal*, or *final*.
 - A "pending" event involves allegations that have not been proven or formally adjudicated.
 - An event that is "on appeal" involves allegations that have been adjudicated but are currently being appealed.
 - A "final" event has been concluded and its resolution is not subject to change.
 - A final event generally has a disposition of *adjudicated*, *settled* or *otherwise resolved*.
 - An "adjudicated" matter includes a disposition by (1) a court of law in a criminal or civil matter, or (2) an administrative panel in an action brought by a regulator that is contested by the party charged with some alleged wrongdoing.
 - A "settled" matter generally involves an agreement by the parties to resolve the matter. Please note that brokers and brokerage firms may choose to settle customer disputes or regulatory matters for business or other reasons.
 - A "resolved" matter usually involves no payment to the customer and no finding of wrongdoing on the part of the individual broker. Such matters generally involve customer disputes.

For your convenience, below is a matrix of the number and status of disclosure events involving this broker. Further information regarding these events can be found in the subsequent pages of this report. You also may wish to contact the broker to obtain further information regarding these events.

	Pending	Final	On Appeal
Regulatory Event	0	2	0



Customer Dispute	6	4	N/A
Termination	N/A	1	N/A



Disclosure Event Details

When evaluating this information, please keep in mind that a disclosure event may be pending or involve allegations that are contested and have not been resolved or proven. The matter may, in the end, be withdrawn, dismissed, resolved in favor of the broker, or concluded through a negotiated settlement for certain business reasons (e.g., to maintain customer relationships or to limit the litigation costs associated with disputing the allegations) with no admission or finding of wrongdoing.

This report provides the information exactly as it was reported to CRD and therefore some of the specific data fields contained in the report may be blank if the information was not provided to CRD.

Regulatory - Final

This type of disclosure event may involves (1) a final, formal proceeding initiated by a regulatory authority (e.g., a state securities agency, self-regulatory organization, federal regulatory such as the Securities and Exchange Commission, foreign financial regulatory body) for a violation of investment-related rules or regulations; or (2) a revocation or suspension of a broker's authority to act as an attorney, accountant, or federal contractor.

Disclosure 1 of 2

Reporting Source:	Regulator
Regulatory Action Initiated By:	FINRA
Sanction(s) Sought:	Suspension
Date Initiated:	11/12/2014
Docket/Case Number:	12-01445
Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action:	N/A
Product Type:	No Product
Allegations:	RESPONDENT LAWRENCE FAILED TO COMPLY WITH AN ARBITRATION AWARD OR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT OR TO SATISFACTORILY RESPOND TO A FINRA REQUEST TO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONCERNING THE STATUS OF COMPLIANCE.
Current Status:	Final
Resolution:	LETTER



Does the order constitute a final order based on violations of any laws or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct?

No

Resolution Date:

11/12/2014

Sanctions Ordered:

Suspension

If the regulator is the SEC, CFTC, or an SRO, did the action result in a finding of a willful violation or failure to supervise?

No

(1) willfully violated any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, or to have been unable to comply with any provision of such Act, rule or regulation?



(2) willfully aided, abetted, counseled, commanded, induced, or procured the violation by any person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board? or

(3) failed reasonably to supervise another person subject to your supervision, with a view to preventing the violation by such person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board?

Sanction 1 of 1

Sanction Type:	Suspension
Capacities Affected:	ANY CAPACITY
Duration:	N/A
Start Date:	11/12/2014
End Date:	

**Regulator Statement**

PURSUANT TO ARTICLE VI, SECTION 3 OF FINRA BY-LAWS, AND FINRA RULE 9554, RESPONDENT LAWRENCE IS SUSPENDED ON NOVEMBER 12, 2014 FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH AN ARBITRATION AWARD OR SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT OR TO SATISFACTORILY RESPOND TO A FINRA REQUEST TO PROVIDE INFORMATION CONCERNING THE STATUS OF COMPLIANCE.

Disclosure 2 of 2

Reporting Source: Regulator

Regulatory Action Initiated By: FINRA

Sanction(s) Sought: Other: N/A

Date Initiated: 01/08/2014

Docket/Case Number: [2013039289901](#)

Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action: NA

Product Type: No Product

Allegations: FINRA RULE 8210: LAWRENCE FAILED TO APPEAR FOR ON-THE-RECORD TESTIMONY REQUESTED BY FINRA. FINRA RECEIVED A REGULATORY TIP ALLEGING THAT LAWRENCE MISAPPROPRIATED FUNDS FROM CUSTOMERS. DURING THE COURSE OF FINRA'S INVESTIGATION INTO THE REGULATORY TIP, FINRA REQUESTED THAT LAWRENCE APPEAR AND PROVIDE ON-THE-RECORD TESTIMONY. HOWEVER, THE COUNSEL FOR LAWRENCE INFORMED FINRA THAT LAWRENCE WOULD NOT APPEAR AND PROVIDE ON-THE-RECORD TESTIMONY.

Current Status: Final

Resolution: Acceptance, Waiver & Consent(AWC)

Does the order constitute a final order based on violations of any laws or regulations that prohibit fraudulent, manipulative, or deceptive conduct? No

Resolution Date: 01/08/2014

Sanctions Ordered: Bar (Permanent)



If the regulator is the SEC, CFTC, or an SRO, did the action result in a finding of a willful violation or failure to supervise?

No

(1) willfully violated any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, or to have been unable to comply with any provision of such Act, rule or regulation?

(2) willfully aided, abetted, counseled, commanded, induced, or procured the violation by any person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board? or



(3) failed reasonably to supervise another person subject to your supervision, with a view to preventing the violation by such person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board?

Sanction 1 of 1

Sanction Type: Bar (Permanent)
Capacities Affected: ALL CAPACITIES
Duration:
Start Date: 01/08/2014
End Date:

Regulator Statement

WITHOUT ADMITTING OR DENYING THE FINDINGS, LAWRENCE CONSENTED TO THE DESCRIBED SANCTION AND TO THE ENTRY OF FINDINGS; THEREFORE, HE IS BARRED FROM ASSOCIATION WITH ANY FINRA MEMBER IN ALL CAPACITIES.

Reporting Source: Firm
Regulatory Action Initiated By: FINRA
Sanction(s) Sought: Bar
Date Initiated: 01/08/2014
Docket/Case Number: [2013039289901](#)



Employing firm when activity occurred which led to the regulatory action: SOUTHWEST SECURITIES, INC.

Product Type: No Product

Allegations: FINRA RULE 8210: LAWRENCE FAILED TO APPEAR FOR ON-THE-RECORD TESTIMONY REQUESTED BY FINRA. FINRA RECEIVED A REGULATORY TIP ALLEGING THAT LAWRENCE MISAPPROPRIATED FUNDS FROM CUSTOMERS. DURING THE COURSE OF FINRA'S INVESTIGATION INTO THE REGULATORY TIP, FINRA REQUESTED THAT LAWRENCE APPEAR AND PROVIDE ON-THE-RECORD TESTIMONY. HOWEVER, THE COUNSEL FOR LAWRENCE INFORMED FINRA THAT LAWRENCE WOULD NOT APPEAR AND PROVIDE ON-THE-RECORD TESTIMONY.

Current Status: Final

Resolution: Acceptance, Waiver & Consent(AWC)

Resolution Date: 01/08/2014

Sanctions Ordered: Bar (Permanent)

If the regulator is the SEC, CFTC, or an SRO, did the action result in a finding of a willful violation or failure to supervise? No

(1) willfully violated any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board, or to have been unable to comply with any provision of such Act, rule or regulation?



(2) willfully aided, abetted, counseled, commanded, induced, or procured the violation by any person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any of such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board? or

(3) failed reasonably to supervise another person subject to your supervision, with a view to preventing the violation by such person of any provision of the Securities Act of 1933, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, the Investment Company Act of 1940, the Commodity Exchange Act, or any rule or regulation under any such Acts, or any of the rules of the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board?

Sanction 1 of 1

Sanction Type:	Bar (Permanent)
Capacities Affected:	ALL CAPACITIES
Duration:	
Start Date:	01/08/2014
End Date:	



Firm Statement

WITHOUT ADMITTING OR DENYING THE FINDINGS, LAWRENCE CONSENTED TO THE DESCRIBED SANCTION AND TO THE ENTRY OF FINDINGS; THEREFORE, HE IS BARRED FROM ASSOCIATION WITH ANY FINRA MEMBER IN ALL CAPACITIES.



Customer Dispute - Settled

This type of disclosure event involves a consumer-initiated, investment-related complaint, arbitration proceeding or civil suit containing allegations of sale practice violations against the broker that resulted in a monetary settlement to the customer.

Disclosure 1 of 2

Reporting Source:	Firm
Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:	OPPENHEIMER & CO.
Allegations:	NEGLIGENCE, NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION, 1933 SECURITIES ACT, VIOLATION OF TEXAS SECURITIES ACT SECTION 33(A)(2) AND 33(F)(1).
Product Type:	Options
Alleged Damages:	\$0.00
Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact):	DAMAGES UNSPECIFIED BUT BELIEVED TO BE OVER \$15000.

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/CFTC reparation claim filed with (FINRA, AAA, CFTC, etc.):	FINRA
Docket/Case #:	14-02864
Date Notice/Process Served:	10/21/2014
Arbitration Pending?	No
Disposition:	Settled
Disposition Date:	01/27/2016
Monetary Compensation Amount:	\$252,500.00
Individual Contribution Amount:	\$0.00

Disclosure 2 of 2

Reporting Source:	Firm
--------------------------	------



Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.

Allegations: UNAUTHORIZED TRADES DURING THE PERIOD 3/28/2011 - 7/07/2011. CUSTOMER ALSO VERBALLY ALLEGES MR. LAWRENCE OFFERED TO COVER THE CUSTOMER'S LOSSES WITH A PERSONNAL CHECK.

Product Type: Options

Alleged Damages: \$71,261.00

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 07/12/2011

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

Status Date: 09/15/2011

Settlement Amount: \$71,261.00

Individual Contribution Amount: \$71,261.00

Reporting Source: Broker

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.

Allegations: UNAUTHORIZED TRADING (APPROX. 03/2011 - 07/2011) CLIENT ALLEGED I OFFERED COMPENSATION, BUT I SIMPLY TOLD HIM I WOULD MAKE IT RIGHT (THROUGH OPPENHEIMER).

Product Type: Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)
Options

Alleged Damages: \$71,261.00

Is this an oral complaint? No



Is this a written complaint? Yes

**Is this an arbitration/CFTC
reparation or civil litigation?** No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 07/12/2011

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Settled

Status Date: 07/26/2012

Settlement Amount: \$71,261.00

**Individual Contribution
Amount:** \$71,261.00

Broker Statement THE U-5 FILED BY OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC. ON JULY 26, 2012 SHOWS
THAT THE COMPLAINT WAS SETTLED ON SEPTEMBER 15, 2011.



Customer Dispute - Closed-No Action/Withdrawn/Dismissed/Denied

This type of disclosure event involves (1) a consumer-initiated, investment-related arbitration or civil suit containing allegations of sales practice violations against the individual broker that was dismissed, withdrawn, or denied; or (2) a consumer-initiated, investment-related written complaint containing allegations that the broker engaged in sales practice violations resulting in compensatory damages of at least \$5,000, forgery, theft, or misappropriation, or conversion of funds or securities, which was closed without action, withdrawn, or denied.

Disclosure 1 of 2

Reporting Source:	Firm
Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:	SOUTHWEST SECURITIES, INC.
Allegations:	CLAIMANT ALLEGES THROUGH ATTORNEY THAT REPRESENTATIVE MADE UNSUITABLE RECOMMENDATIONS AND FAILED TO PROPERLY ADMINISTER ADVISORY ACCOUNTS.
Product Type:	Other: CLOSED-END NOTES
Alleged Damages:	\$40,000.00
Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact):	CLAIMANT ALLEGES THROUGH ATTORNEY DAMAGES OF AT LEAST \$40,000.00
Is this an oral complaint?	No
Is this a written complaint?	Yes
Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation?	No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received:	04/21/2014
Complaint Pending?	No
Status:	Denied
Status Date:	04/25/2014
Settlement Amount:	
Individual Contribution Amount:	

Disclosure 2 of 2



Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: SOUTHWEST SECURITIES, INC.

Allegations: CLAIMANT ALLEGES THAT A PERSONAL LOAN WAS MADE TO REPRESENTATIVE (WAS REPAYED), AND ALSO THAT INVESTMENTS IN VXX WERE INAPPROPRIATE, CAUSING LOSSES OF \$90,000.00. UPON FURTHER CONVERSATION WITH THE CLAIMANT ON JANUARY 9, 2013, THE CLAIMANT DEMANDED REMUNERATION FOR LOST PROFITS FROM THE LIQUIDATION OF LAFCX AND HWLCX IN MAY 2012 IN THE AMOUNT OF \$50,000.00 CLAIMING THE RECOMMENDATION TO LIQUIDATE THESE POSITIONS WAS INAPPROPRIATE

Product Type: Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock)
Mutual Fund

Alleged Damages: \$140,000.00

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact): \$90,000.00 IN VXX TRADING LOSSES, AND \$50,000.00 LOST PROFITS FROM THE UNSUITABLE RECOMMENDATION TO LIQUIDATE LAFCX AND HWLCX IN MAY 2012.

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? No

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 01/02/2014

Complaint Pending? No

Status: Denied

Status Date: 03/13/2014

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:



Customer Dispute - Pending

This type of disclosure event involves (1) a pending consumer-initiated, investment-related arbitration or civil suit that contains allegations of sales practice violations against the broker; or (2) a pending, consumer-initiated, investment-related written complaint containing allegations that the broker engaged in, sales practice violations resulting in compensatory damages of at least \$5,000, forgery, theft, or misappropriation, or conversion of funds or securities.

Disclosure 1 of 6

Reporting Source:	Firm
Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:	OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.
Allegations:	BREACH OF CONTRACT, FAILURE TO SUPERVISE, NEGLIGENCE AND GROSS NEGLIGENCE, UNAUTHORIZED TRADING, UNSUITABLE INVESTMENT AND FRAUD.
Product Type:	No Product
Alleged Damages:	\$648,000.00
Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact):	THE FOREGOING REFLECTS APPROXIMATIONS BY CLAIMANT'S COUNSEL REGARDING DAMAGES.

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/CFTC reparation claim filed with (FINRA, AAA, CFTC, etc.):	FINRA
Docket/Case #:	15-00716
Date Notice/Process Served:	04/06/2015
Arbitration Pending?	Yes

Disclosure 2 of 6

Reporting Source:	Firm
Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint:	SOUTHWEST SECURITIES, INC
Allegations:	CLAIMANT ALLEGES BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY, NEGLIGENCE, GROSS NEGLIGENCE, MISREPRESENTATION, FAILURE TO SUPERVISE.
Product Type:	Other: NO SPECIFIC PRODUCT STATED



Alleged Damages: \$70,000.00

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact): CLAIM FOR \$70,000.00 PLUS ATTORNEY'S FEES AND PUNATIVE DAMAGES.

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? Yes

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? Yes

Arbitration/Reparation forum or court name and location: FINRA

Docket/Case #: 14-03805

Filing date of arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation: 12/12/2014

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 01/09/2015

Complaint Pending? Yes

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:

Firm Statement DETAILS WERE PREVIOUSLY ENTERED IN SECTION 12 THRU 16 IN ERROR AS THE REP IS A SUBJECT, BUT NOT NAMED A RESPONDEND.

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.

Allegations: UNSUITABILITY, UNAUTHORIZED TRADING, NEGLIGENCE, GROSS NEGLIGENCE, MISREPRESENTATION, FAILURE TO SUPERVISE, BREACH OF CONTRACT, BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY, VIOLATION OF THE 1933 SECURITIES ACT, VIOLATION OF TEXAS SECURITIES ACT.

Product Type: No Product

Alleged Damages: \$0.00



Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact): DAMAGES UNSPECIFIED BUT BELIEVED TO BE OVER \$70,000.00. CLAIMANTS ALSO SEEK EXEMPLARY DAMAGES, ATTORNEY FEES AND INTEREST.

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? No

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? Yes

Arbitration/Reparation forum or court name and location: FINRA

Docket/Case #: 14-03805

Filing date of arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation: 12/12/2014

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 01/09/2015

Complaint Pending? Yes

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:

Disclosure 3 of 6

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: SOUTHWEST SECURITIES, INC.

Allegations: CLAIMANT ALLEGES BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY, NEGLIGENCE, GROSS NEGLIGENCE, FAILURE TO SUPERVISE, MISREPRESENTATION, FRAUD BY INDUCING CLAIMANT TO WIRE TRANSFER INVESTMENT FUNDING DIRECTLY TO DEFENDANTS PERSONAL BANK ACCOUNT.

Product Type: Index Option
Other: EXCHANGE TRADED INDEX FUNDS.

Alleged Damages: \$100,000.00

Alleged Damages Amount CLAIMANT ALLEGES DAMAGES OVER \$100,000.00 BUT LESS THAN



Explanation (if amount not exact): \$200,000.00 PLUS FOUR TIMES COMPENSATORY DAMAGES, PRE- AND POST-JUDGMENT INTEREST.

Is this an oral complaint? No

Is this a written complaint? No

Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? Yes

Arbitration/Reparation forum or court name and location: DALLAS COUNTY DISTRICT COURT

Docket/Case #: DC-14-14259

Filing date of arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation: 12/09/2014

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 12/09/2014

Complaint Pending? Yes

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:

Disclosure 4 of 6

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.

Allegations: CLAIMANTS ALLEGE BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY, NEGLIGENCE, GROSS NEGLIGENCE, UNSUITABLE RECOMENDATIONS, BREACH OF CONTRACT, MISREPRESENTATION, AND FAILURE TO SUPERVISE ON THE PART OF THE FIRM.

Product Type: Other: MULTIPLE PRODUCTS

Alleged Damages: \$1,410,000.00

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact): \$1410,000.00 STATED DAMAGES PLUS ATTORNEYS' FEES, PUNITIVE DAMAGES, PRE AND POST JUDGMENT INTEREST, COST AND EXPENSES INCURRED, AND ANY FURTHER RELIEF WITH CLAIMANTS MAY SHOW THEMSELVES ENTITLED TO IN LAW OR EQUITY.



Is this an oral complaint? No
Is this a written complaint? No
Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? Yes
Arbitration/Reparation forum or court name and location: FINRA
Docket/Case #: 14-03116
Filing date of arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation: 10/07/2014

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 11/17/2014
Complaint Pending? Yes
Settlement Amount:
Individual Contribution Amount:

Reporting Source: Firm
Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: SOUTHWEST SECURITIES, INC

Allegations: CLAIMANTS ALLEGE BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY, NEGLIGENCE, GROSS NEGLIGENCE, UNSUITABLE RECOMMENDATIONS, BREACH OF CONTRACT, MISREPRESENTATION, FAILURE TO SUPERVISE ON THE PART OF THE FIRM,

Product Type: Other: MULTIPLE PRODUCTS

Alleged Damages: \$1,410,000.00

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact): \$1,410,000.00 STATED DAMAGES PLUS ATTORNEY FEES, PUNATIVE DAMAGES, PRE AND POST JUDGMENT INTEREST, COST AND EXPENSES INCURRED, AND ANY FURTHER RELIEF WITH CLAIMANTS MAY SHOW THEMSELVES ENTITLED TO IN LAW OR EQUITY.

Is this an oral complaint? No
Is this a written complaint? No



Is this an arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation? Yes

Arbitration/Reparation forum or court name and location: FINRA

Docket/Case #: 14-03116

Filing date of arbitration/CFTC reparation or civil litigation: 10/07/2014

Customer Complaint Information

Date Complaint Received: 11/17/2014

Complaint Pending? Yes

Settlement Amount:

Individual Contribution Amount:

Disclosure 5 of 6

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: SOUTHWEST SECURITIES, INC.

Allegations: CLAIMANT ALLEGES BREACH OF FIDUCIARY DUTY, NEGLIGENCE, GROSS NEGLIGENCE, FAILUR TO SUPERVISE, MISREPRESENTATION, BREACH OF CONTRACT, UNSUITABLE RECOMMENDATIONS, UNAUTHORIZED TRADING.

Product Type: Other: MULTIPLE PRODUCTS IN MULTIPLE CLAIMANT ACCOUNTS.

Alleged Damages: \$2,845,000.00

Alleged Damages Amount Explanation (if amount not exact): MULTIPLE CLAIMS ADDING UP TO APPROX \$2,845,000.00 + PUNATIVE DAMAGES, PRE AND POST JUDGMENT INTEREST AND ATTORNEY'S FEES.

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/CFTC reparation claim filed with (FINRA, AAA, CFTC, etc.): FINRA

Docket/Case #: 12-02864



Date Notice/Process Served: 10/27/2014

Arbitration Pending? Yes

Disclosure 6 of 6

Reporting Source: Firm

Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.

Allegations: WADE LAWRENCE ALLEGEDLY BORROWED AND DID NOT PAY BACK, \$850,000 FROM AN OPPENHEIMER CLIENT.

Product Type: Other: FAILURE TO REPAY LOAN

Alleged Damages: \$850,000.00

Arbitration Information

Arbitration/CFTC reparation claim filed with (FINRA, AAA, CFTC, etc.): 237TH JUDICIAL PT., LUBBECK, TEXAS

Docket/Case #: 2013-59,236

Date Notice/Process Served: 11/14/2013

Arbitration Pending? Yes

Civil Litigation Information

Type of Court: State Court

Name of Court: 237TH JUDICIAL PT.

Location of Court: LUBBOCK, TEXAS

Docket/Case #: 2013-509236

Date Notice/Process Served: 11/14/2013

Litigation Pending? Yes

Firm Statement

DETAILS WERE PREVIOUSLY FILED IN THE WRONG SECTION IN ERROR. IN EXCHANGE FOR A SETTLEMENT AMOUNT PLAINTIFF WITHDREW IT CLAIMS AGAINST OPPENHEIMER. THE MATTER WAS NOT DISMISSED AGAINST WADE LAWRENCE, IT IS STILL PENDING AGAINST REP.. THE FIRM SETTLING THE MATTER ON FIRMS BEHALF AND NOT AGAINST THE REP.



Reporting Source: Broker
Employing firm when activities occurred which led to the complaint: OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.
Allegations: REPRESENTATIVE ALLEGEDLY BORROWED AND DID NOT PAY BACK \$850,000 FROM AN OPPENHEIMER CLIENT.
Product Type: Other: FAILURE TO REPAY LOAN
Alleged Damages: \$850,000.00

Civil Litigation Information

Type of Court: State Court
Name of Court: 237TH JUDICIAL PT., LUBBOCK, TX
Location of Court: LUBBOCK, TX
Docket/Case #: 2013-59,236
Date Notice/Process Served: 11/14/2013
Litigation Pending? Yes



Employment Separation After Allegations

This type of disclosure event involves a situation where the broker voluntarily resigned, was discharged, or was permitted to resign after being accused of (1) violating investment-related statutes, regulations, rules or industry standards of conduct; (2) fraud or the wrongful taking of property; or (3) failure to supervise in connection with investment-related statutes, regulations, rules, or industry standards of conduct.

Disclosure 1 of 1

Reporting Source:	Broker
Employer Name:	OPPENHEIMER & CO. INC.
Termination Type:	Voluntary Resignation
Termination Date:	07/22/2011
Allegations:	CLIENT ALLEGED I OFFERED COMPENSATION, BUT I SIMPLY TOLD HIM I WOULD MAKE IT RIGHT (THROUGH OPPENHEIMER)
Product Type:	Equity Listed (Common & Preferred Stock) Options
Broker Statement	I COMMITTED TO CLIENT & OPPENHEIMER THAT I WOULD PAY FOR THE LOSSES. I THEN VOLUNTARILY RESIGNED AND LEFT THE APPROPRIATE FUNDS IN MY PERSONAL ACCOUNT TO BE USED TO COVER THE LOSSES.

End of Report



This page is intentionally left blank.