

**FINANCIAL INDUSTRY REGULATORY AUTHORITY**

**OFFICE OF HEARING OFFICERS**

Department of Enforcement,

Complainant,

v.

Kelly Clayton Althar (CRD No. 2666723),

Respondent.

DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDING

No. 2014041137501

HEARING OFFICER: MAD

**ORDER ACCEPTING OFFER OF SETTLEMENT**

Date: February 10, 2017

**INTRODUCTION**

Disciplinary Proceeding No. 2014041137501 was filed on January 6, 2017, by the Department of Enforcement of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”) (“Complainant”). Respondent Kelly Clayton Althar submitted an Offer of Settlement (Offer) to Complainant dated February 6, 2017. Pursuant to FINRA Rule 9270(e), the Complainant and the National Adjudicatory Council (“NAC”), a Review Subcommittee of the NAC, or the Office of Disciplinary Affairs (“ODA”) have accepted the uncontested Offer. Accordingly, this Order now is issued pursuant to FINRA Rule 9270(e)(3). The findings, conclusions and sanctions set forth in this Order are those stated in the Offer as accepted by the Complainant and approved by the NAC.

Under the terms of the Offer, Respondent has consented, without admitting or denying the allegations of the Complaint, and solely for the purposes of this proceeding and any other proceeding brought by or on behalf of FINRA, or to which FINRA is a party, to the entry of findings and violations consistent with the allegations of the Complaint, and to the imposition of

the sanctions set forth below, and fully understands that this Order will become part of Respondent's permanent disciplinary record and may be considered in any future actions brought by FINRA.

### **BACKGROUND**

Althar entered the securities industry in January 1996, when he became registered as a General Securities Representative through an association with a FINRA member firm. In April 2011, Althar became registered with Financial West Group ("FWG"), where he worked throughout the Relevant Period. In December 2015, Althar joined another FINRA member firm. Althar voluntarily terminated his FINRA registrations on May 27, 2016.

Although Althar is no longer registered or associated with a FINRA member, he remains subject to FINRA's jurisdiction for purposes of this proceeding, pursuant to Article V, Section 4 of FINRA's By-Laws, because (i) the Complaint was filed within two years after the effective date of termination of Althar's registration with a member firm, namely, May 27, 2016, and (ii) the Complaint charges him with misconduct committed while he was registered or associated with a FINRA member.

### **FINDINGS AND CONCLUSIONS**

It has been determined that the Offer be accepted and that findings be made as follows:

Between April 2011 and March 2014 (the "Relevant Period") Respondent Kelly Clayton Althar made unsuitable recommendations and engaged in excessive trading in two accounts held by an elderly customer, CN. Althar engaged in high volume trading to generate commissions and over concentrated CN's accounts in risky securities, despite the fact that CN was close to retirement and wanted only low risk investments. Althar's trading decimated CN's accounts, which constituted the bulk of her net worth and retirement savings.

CN was referred to Althar in early 2011. At that time, CN was 68 years old and had hoped to retire in approximately five years. Her net worth consisted largely of approximately \$161,000 held in an Individual Retirement Account (“IRA”) in growth- and income-oriented mutual funds, plus approximately \$100,000 of equity in her primary residence.

In April 2011, Althar opened two accounts at FWG on behalf of CN—an individual brokerage account and an IRA. Both accounts were commission-based accounts.

CN funded her IRA at FWG in April 2011 by transferring her securities holdings directly from her prior brokerage firm to her IRA at FWG. CN did not fund her individual brokerage account until November 2012 when she received an inheritance of cash and blue-chip securities valued at approximately \$147,000.

Because she was hoping to retire within several years, CN did not want a risky strategy employed in either of her FWG accounts. Prior to April 2011, consistent with her risk tolerance and investment objectives, CN did not engage in active trading in her IRA.

After CN opened her accounts with Althar at FWG, Althar exercised *de facto* control over both her accounts. He frequently placed trades in her accounts without consulting with CN prior to trades.

During the Relevant Period, Althar often purchased, sold, and subsequently repurchased the same security in CN’s accounts within a short period of time. For example, on December 26, 2012, Althar purchased 696 shares of American Capital Agency Corp. (“AGNC”), a REIT, for \$21,559.09 and sold those shares, at a loss, two months later on February 28, 2013, for \$21,298.50. He then re-purchased 782 shares of AGNC two months later after the price had risen, for \$26,756.36, and then sold those shares, at a significant loss, six weeks later for

\$18,619.03. On those four trades, on which CN lost over \$8,000 in a matter of months, Althar generated over \$3,000 in commissions.

Althar also over-concentrated CN's accounts in risky securities. During the Relevant Period, Althar purchased securities issued by one business development company until that position represented approximately 60% of CN's total portfolio value.

During the Relevant period, Althar executed 155 trades in CN's IRA, generating commissions of approximately \$91,000. The turnover ratio was approximately 3.5. The commission-to-equity ratio was approximately 22%, meaning that CN's IRA would have had to achieve a return of 22% simply to break even on the investments Althar made. By March of 2014, Althar's trading caused losses in CN's IRA of approximately \$103,000, or 56% of the total account value.

Between November 2012 and March 2014, Althar executed 91 trades in CN's individual account—including liquidating all of the blue-chip securities CN received as part of her inheritance—generating commissions of approximately \$48,000. The turnover ratio was approximately 4.88. The commission-to-equity ratio was approximately 32%, meaning that CN's individual account would have had to achieve a return of 32% simply to break even on the investments Althar made. By March of 2014, Althar's trading caused losses in CN's individual account of approximately \$84,000 or 48% of the total account value.

**CAUSE OF ACTION  
EXCESSIVE AND UNSUITABLE TRADING  
(NASD RULE 2310 AND FINRA RULES 2111 AND 2010)**

FINRA Rule 2111 and its predecessor NASD Rule 2310<sup>1</sup> provide that an associated person must have a reasonable basis to believe that a recommended transaction or investment strategy is suitable for the customer, based on the customer's financial circumstances and

---

<sup>1</sup> FINRA Rule 2111 replaced NASD Rule 2310 on July 9, 2012.

investment objectives. Among the obligations under the suitability rule is “quantitative suitability” which focuses on whether the number of transactions within a given timeframe is suitable in light of the customer’s financial circumstances and investment objectives. A broker must have a reasonable basis to believe that the number of recommended transactions within a particular period is not excessive. Excessive trading occurs when a registered representative has control over trading in an account and the level of activity in that account is inconsistent with the customer’s investment objectives, financial situation and needs. Excessive trading violates FINRA’s suitability standards by representing an unsuitable frequency of trading.

Althar exercised control over CN’s accounts at FWG. Althar rarely consulted CN about the transactions in her accounts and made the investment decisions for her, including what to buy and sell, the quantities, and when each transaction would occur. Althar learned about most of these transactions after the fact. Prior to opening her accounts at FWG, CN had not engaged in active trading in her IRA.

He used this control to excessively trade these accounts in a manner that was inconsistent with her investment objectives, financial situation and needs. The level of trading was excessive because the trading strategy resulted in costs so high the possibility of profit was remote. By requiring a minimum return of over 20% just to break even in either account, Althar’s strategy was unsuitable for these accounts.

As a result of Althar’s excessive trading in CN’s accounts, she suffered extensive losses and the value of her two accounts, which represented the majority of her net worth, dropped by over 50%.

Based on the foregoing, Respondent Althar violated NASD Rule 2310, NASD IM-2310-2 and FINRA Rule 2010 (for transactions before July 8, 2012) and FINRA Rules 2111 and 2010 (for transactions on or after July 9, 2012).

Based on these considerations, the sanctions hereby imposed by the acceptance of the Offer are in the public interest, are sufficiently remedial to deter Respondent from any future misconduct, and represent a proper discharge by FINRA, of its regulatory responsibility under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

### SANCTIONS

It is ordered that Respondent be barred from association with any FINRA member in all capacities.

The sanctions imposed herein shall be effective on a date set by FINRA staff. A bar or expulsion shall become effective upon approval or acceptance of this Order.

SO ORDERED.

FINRA

Signed on behalf of the  
Director of ODA, by delegated authority



---

Meghan S. Bailey  
Principal Regional Counsel  
Christopher Perrin  
Regional Chief Counsel  
FINRA Department of Enforcement  
100 Pine Street Suite 1800  
San Francisco, CA 94111  
415-217-1123; 415.217.1201  
meghan.bailey@finra.org